

REMARKS

The Office action indicates that Claims 5 and 9 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Claim 1, the base claim from which Claim 5 originally depended, has been amended to include all of the limitations of Claim 5. Claim 5 has been cancelled. Therefore, Claims 1-4 are now in condition for allowance.

The base Claim 6, from which Claim 9 originally depended, has been amended to include all of the limitations of Claim 6 and Claim 9. Claim 9 has been cancelled. Therefore, Claims 6-8 stand now in condition for allowance.

Claims 10-12 have been cancelled.

In view of the amendments to the claims, Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank G. McKenzie  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 29,242

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC  
One Maritime Plaza, Fourth Floor  
720 Water Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
(734) 542-0900  
(734) 542-9569 (fax)